RSU 13 Grade 8 – 12 Transition Advisory Group

November 1, 2010 – RSU 13 Superintendent's Office, Conference Room – 3:00 PM to 5:00 PM

Membership: L. Berger, G. Emery, T. Forti, B. Gamage, K. Gamage, M. Gee, R. Gilson, N. Guyer, D. Johanson, J. Kalloch, J. Lucarelli, M.A. McLean, A. Riley, L. Schooley (C.Kesselheim – GSP Consultant).

Goals for the meeting:

- 1. Review, discussion, and updating of benchmarks and activities within the TAG work plan Phase II.
- 2. Continue work on Project Evaluation Plan Benchmark 2F within the Continuing Work Plan

AGENDA

Time	Event	Detail	Reflections/Questions/Notes
3:00	Welcome & agenda review. Supt. Lucarelli	 Overview of agenda Review and discussion of any communication received. General updates & sharing 	
3:15	Review, discussion, questions, and consideration of any needed revisions to the benchmarks contained in the TAG work plan – Phase II. Supt. Lucarelli	TAG members are asked to review in detail the Continuing Work Plan – Phase II October 4 th update. This document is also available for review via the RSU 13 website and can be found under the 'Central Office' banner. • Benchmark review, continuing updates & recommended adjustments.	TAG members are asked to bring or have available the Continuing Work Plan document – last updated 10-04-10

Time	Event	Detail	Reflections/Questions/Notes
3:45	Review of highlighted professional development themes & activities being integrated into on-going work. L. Schooley T. Forti	Update on planning efforts and activities regarding pending visitations to other secondary programs: • Purpose of the visitations? • How secondary programs were selected? • Who will participate?	
4:00	Continuing work for crafting a longer term Program Evaluation Plan. N. Guyer	This will be a continuation of the work that has been initiated over the past two meetings. A work template has been drafted to capture the efforts to date and accompanies this agenda.	Please review the Draft Program Evaluation Planning Template (10-04-10) as preparation for this activity
4:50	Wrap up & adjourn	Summary of meeting outcomes • Confirm next meeting for December 6, 2010	

Goal Statement: We will prepare all students to be successful in post secondary options.			
Guiding or 'researchable' questions relate this goal:	ed to Possible data sources:	Methods & strategies for evaluation plan:	
What is the current percentage of stream who enroll in (and complete) post services options? What subgroups should we over time – gender, socio-economic pathways chosen, etc?	condary e track		
What is our current percentage of st taking (and completing) higher level courses? What changes would we loover time – again, within which subg	or AP ook for		
How do we currently utilize 'accuplar to gauge post secondary readiness what data can we solicit from post secondary institutions to track this?			
4. Will the 11 th & 12 th grade writing assessment provide data over time to be correlated to successful post sec experiences?			
5. Are there survey strategies/tools tha allow us solicit information regarding 'readiness' criteria from post second institutions and businesses?			
6. How might we assess & monitor the level' of students in math, science, E other areas over time?			
 What tools or strategies could we entap into the aspirational intent of studies beginning in the 8th grade are continuing through grade 12? 	dents &		

Goal Statement:

We will realize a graduation rate that equals or exceeds 90%.

Possible data sources:	Methods & strategies for evaluation plan:

In reference to # 3 above, are students matched with effective interventions & what indicators will verify improvement over time?	
At what rate do we currently employ duel credit, or early college offerings (via U-Rock and others), as a pathway component to graduation? If these options become more available or we make college courses available to all students – will there be a positive impact on HS completion?	

Goal S	statement:	Our school programs will provide	e an equitable range of courses and extra-curricular lea	arning opportunities for all students.
Guiding or 'researchable' questions related to this goal:		chable' questions related to	Possible data sources:	Methods & strategies for evaluation plan:
1.		rently the rate of participation in ular activities?		
2.	participation	equitable distribution of n in these activities in terms of students reside in?		
3.	students in grade, by g	e current enrollment patterns for advanced or AP courses – by ender, by economic status? se enrollment patterns?)		
4.	that inhibit 'course sequ'fail' before on early col	rocedural or structural aspects equitable' access (unnecessary uences or prerequisites, need to accessing other options, limits lege or alternative learning nool scheduling impediments,		

 5. Currently, what are the 'typical' pathways to HS graduation & how will we know if these have expanded over time? 6. What do/will we know from post graduates regarding whether or not their chosen pathway to graduation adequately supported their post graduate aspirations & endeavors? 					
Goal Statement:	We will improve learning outcome	es for all students.			
Guiding or 'researchable' questions related to this goal:		Possible data sources:	Methods & strategies for evaluation plan:		
Goal Statement: We will achieve acceptance and 'ownership' of the 8-12 school model as 'our school' within the community – inclusive of studes parents, and others.		nin the community – inclusive of students, faculty,			
Guiding or 'researchable' questions related to this goal:		Possible data sources:	Methods & strategies for evaluation plan:		